

]

REDDALE LANDFILL COMMUNITY LIAISON COMMITTEE
Minutes of Meeting
Monday 20 June 2005 at 7:30 pm

Present: Mick Hunt (Chairperson)
Mark Drury (URS / Peer Review Panel)
Bill Earwaker
Bob Gibbs
Richard Croker
Mike Kunzli
John Neil (North Harbour Country Club)
Steven Walker
Wayne Walker (RDC, late)
Chris Wills (WAM)
Chris Northey (WAM)

Apologies: John Earley (ARC Landfills Officer)
Charlie Earwaker

Absent: Rob Burgess

Minutes of Previous Meeting:

Minutes were circulated by mail prior to this meeting (and additional copies tabled at the meeting).

Richard Croker/Mike Kunzli - That the minutes of the 14/03/05 meeting as circulated and tabled at this meeting be accepted as a correct record - Passed.

Matters arising from minutes:

Richard Croker sought confirmation Redvale Lime buildings were on track for June demolition. Chris W confirmed.

Richard requested additional information re possible fish ladder installation in Rangitopuni Stream. Some discussion re *banded kokopu*.

Correspondence:

None.

Waste Placement:

Chris N described disposal operations as having been undertaken in Phase 11 since late January, presently in Phases 10 and 11 and will be in Phase 9 late in the year.

Planting:

Chris N has planned for planting of Richards Road verge in July.

Complaints

Reference	Date	Complainant	Details
325	21 March	Ed Swindells (WAM)	WAM truck spills rubbish on SH 17
326	22 March	Motorist (unknown)	Metrowaste truck spills rubbish from Silverdale to Dairy Flat
327	29 March	Mike Kunzli	Landfill smell. Noisy equipment.
328	1 April	Julie Earwaker	LFG smell.

329	3 May	Sharon Johnson	LFG smell.
330	6 May	Member of public (unknown)	Metrowaste truck scattering waste from Silverdale to Redvale.
331	17 May	Julie Earwaker	LFG smell.
332	10 June	Julie Earwaker	LFG smell.
333	13 June	Julie Earwaker	LFG smell.
334	20 June	Garry Cain	Landfill odour

Site Development and Current Issues:

Chris N reported a moderate cell construction program was envisaged for October to March 2005. Conditions were sufficiently benevolent early in the year to the extent that significant sub-liner and liner materials were placed, thereby diminishing the extent of works planned for the coming construction season.

Chris Wills reported Waste Management are keen to install additional **power generation** and are also considering installation of another flare. However there is some disappointment with the government's stance re gas to energy projects at Redvale and this may influence site development.

Bob Gibbs enquired as to the noise implications associated with a new flare installation. A "rumble" is possible with higher oxygen content in the gas stream, but generally noise is unobtrusive.

The evaporator is working well, has good destruction capability.

Additional gas engines (if they proceed) might be accommodated in a larger plant room or power house.

Wayne Walker sought clarification of the Redvale Lime Company disestablishment program.

Chris W led some discussion on the "**bio-reactor landfill**" concept. In simple terms, the landfill is irrigated to stimulate gas production. The gas is extracted sooner, and the waste pile quickly attains a stable state.

Some consent matters to progress with Rodney District Council.

The ARC generally supports the concept.

Mark Drury (of the Peer Review Panel) stated the PRP is also supportive.

Chris N spoke to a facility proposed for Redvale. A Waste **Pre-Acceptance** or **Bond Area** within the footprint. In essence, an area dedicated to receive waste which is likely to meet Landfill Acceptance Criteria, but which has not yet been laboratory tested. An example was given:

- An underground diesel tank is to be replaced. Tank is removed and it is apparent some diesel has leaked over time. The contaminated material must be removed and replaced immediately so that the new tank can be installed. The extent of the soil contamination is unknown because laboratory tests take 7 to 10 working days. Place it in the Pre-Acceptance Area pending receipt of results.

There will be no treatment of waste on site.

Mike Kunzli: What is the likely catchment area? CN: Mainly the Auckland area.

Wayne Walker suggested the facility was highly desirable to provide an alternative destination for contaminated materials likely to be disposed at "clean-fills". He felt he had a responsibility to assist the council to get up to speed on environmental management developments of this sort.

Mick Hunt sought discussion regarding consultation with the wider community. Chris W advised that WAM's position was, to some extent, a response to an ARC request for a facility.

Bill Earwaker was comfortable as long as WAM maintained high standards of waste acceptance monitoring.

Wayne Walker expressed a view that some “clean-fills” are not very clean at all.

Peer Review:

Mark Drury tabled Peer Review panel Report No 25. A copy is attached to these minutes. Mark spoke to the Report.

Mick Hunt thanked Mark for his report.

General business:

Bill Earwaker enquired how long before WAM moved into the West Property. CSW replied that it would be about five years.

Mike Kunzli sought clarification of a landfill completion date. CSW thought the lifespan of the landfill might be 30 years, “maybe one or two more”.

Wayne Walker suggested Waste Management might want to involve Dairy Flat School in monitoring the Rangitopuni Stream. CSW responded that the stream dries up for about three months a year, therefore this may be problematic. Possible safety issues.

Wayne W: Suggested Waste Management might consider sponsorship of a landscape corridor from Lonely Track Road to Silverdale.

Chris W asked members to consider when and where the Christmas party might take place.

Meeting ends 2100 hrs.

Next meeting: 7:00pm Monday 5 September 2005